-1-

Case 3:21-cr-00012-LRH-WGC Document 74 Filed 01/05/22 Page 1 of 4

		Case 3:21-cr-00012-LRH-WGC Document 74 Filed 01/05/22 Page 2 of 4
VILORIA, ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW OLIPHANT, Office: (775) 284-8888 Fax: (775) 284-3838 OSTER & P.O. Box 62 ~ Reno, Nevada 89504 AMAN L.L.P. 327 California Avenue ~ Reno, Nevada 89509	1	The defendant, who is out of custody, is in agreement that his counsel needs the
	2	additional time and consents to continuance of the sentencing
	3	DATED this day of January, 2022.
	4	
	5	/s/ Andolyn Johnson  ANDOLYN JOHNSON  THOMAS E. VILORIA
	6	Asst. United States Attorney  Counsel for Government  VILORIA, OLIPHANT  OSTER & AMAN, L.L.P.  Counsel for FRANCISCO NAVARRO-DELGADO
	7	Counsel for FRANCISCO NAVARRO-DELGADO
	8	I, FRANCISCO NAVARRO-DELGADO, hereby consent to the above and foregoing
	. 9	Stipulation to Continue Sentencing.
	10	DATED this 3rd day of January, 2022.
	11	
	12	Francisco Navarro-Delgado
	13	
	15	///
	17	///
	18	
	19	///
	<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li></ul>	
	22	
	23	///
	24	111
	25	///
	26	///
	27	
	28	
	20	1000101
		1399521 -2-

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

2

1

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff,

VS.

FRANCISCO NAVARRO-DELGADO,

Defendant.

3:21-cr-00012-LRH-WGC

Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order

## **FINDINGS OF FACT**

Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds that:

- 1. The continuance is necessary for the following reasons. First, the failure to grant this continuance would deny counsel for the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
  - 2. The defendant does not oppose the continuance.
- 3. The parties will need additional time to conduct investigation into mitigating factors and there is a likelihood of motions being filed before sentencing.
- 4. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay, but merely to allow counsel for the defendant sufficient time to complete necessary research and prepare for sentencing
- 5. Denial of this request for continuance would deny counsel for the defendant sufficient time to effectively and thoroughly prepare, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

This is the first stipulation to continue the sentencing filed herein.

28 | | / / /

1399521

-3-

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW** 

The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient time and the opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for sentencing, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

## **ORDER**

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the sentencing currently scheduled for January 13, 2022, at 11:00 a.m. be vacated and continued to March 10, 2022, at 11:00 a.m.

DATED this \_\_\_\_ day of January, 2022.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE